

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	LING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,910	10/29/2003	Andrey Vyshedskiy		4495
Andrey Vysheo	7590 04/15/200	8	EXAM	IINER
Suite 4990	•	LEE, YUN HAENG NMN		
1153 Centre St. Boston, MA 02130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
10/694,910	VYSHEDSKIY ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
YUN HAENG LEE	3766				

		YUN HAENG LEE	3766			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. HEVER IS LONGER THE PROVISION of 37 CFR 1.1 SK (6) MORTHS from the maining date of the communication. For its private prior for pript is appointed from the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or estended period for reply will, by shattler to reply within the set or estended period for reply will, by shattler and a detent term dealinents. Set 37 CFR 1.74(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
D	·					
	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.   4a) Of the above claim(s)					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)	4) 🗆 Intonious Summons	(BTO 412)			

1)	Ш	Notice	of	Refere	ences (	Cited (P	O-892)		
2)		Notice	of	Drafts	person	's Paten	t Drawing	Review (F	PTO-948)
						23.4			

3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2003.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/694,910

Art Unit: 3766

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation:
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP \$ 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-7, 10-20 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: the following limitations lack antecedent basis: In claim 5, "the permissible sound port frequency range"; in claim 6, "the audio output"; in claim 7, "the wireless sound port"; in claims 10 and 22, "the physiological data acquisition system"; in claims 10 and 22, "said amplitude modulation method"; in claim 10, "said microphone port"; in claim 11, "the demodulation"; in claim 12, "the EKG stethoscope"; in claims 13 and 17, "the EKG"; in claim 13, "the sound port"; in claim 14, "the screen"; in claim 14, "the stack mode"; in claims 15, 17, 19 and 20, "the chest piece"; in claim 16, "the subject's skin"; in claim 18, "the operator events"; in claim 18, "the EKG cycle", in claim 18, "said event".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/694,910

Art Unit: 3766

 Claims 1-22 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claim 1 is narrative in form and replete with indefinite and operational language.

The steps which go to make up the method must be clearly and positively specified.

The steps must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative method. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

8. Claims 10 and 22 further provide for the use of a physiological data acquisition system, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 10 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

9. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title. Application/Control Number: 10/694,910

Art Unit: 3766

10. Claims 2-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed inventions are directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims do not fall within one of the four enumerated categories of patentable subject mater recited in section 101 (i.e., process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter). Claims 2-22 have categories that differ from the category of their parent claim, claim 1.

- 11. Claims 4-6 and 9 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed inventions are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims directed to nothing more than abstract ideas, natural phenomena, and laws of nature are not eligible for patent protection. Data, frequencies, and protocols are not eligible for patent protection.
- 12. Claim 16 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims directed to human body parts are not eligible for patent protection. Claim 16 comprises the limitation "the subject's skin".

### Conclusion

13. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Application/Control Number: 10/694,910

Art Unit: 3766

Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUN HAENG LEE whose telephone number is (571)272-2847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on (571) 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766 Carl H. Layno Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766